

Applicant(s)	Michael D. Stapf	<b><u>AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111</u></b>
Serial No.	09/291,535	
Filing Date	April 14, 1999	
Group Art Unit	2122	
Examiner Name	C. Das	
Attorney Docket No.	100.113US01 (formerly 500.720US1)	
Title: INTERFACE FOR AN ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING PROGRAM		

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

The Office Action mailed on October 1, 2001 has been received and carefully reviewed. Consideration of the remarks set forth below is respectfully requested.

**REMARKS**

**35 U.S.C. §102(e) Rejection**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-9, 11-16, 18-23, and 25-26 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Hoskins et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,167,406).

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection and requests reconsideration of the claims. Applicant reserves the right to swear behind the reference Hoskins et al., but feel that claims 1-9, 11-16, 18-23, and 25-26 are allowable for the following reasons.

The Examiner states that "Hoskins et al. teach file containing data loaded onto the enterprise planning is shown in column 74 line 64-67, maps data as claimed is shown in column 14 line 1-3 and column 25 line 29-40 and column 35 line 1-4 execute sensing devise (screens) is shown in column 1 line 25-31". See, e.g., Office Action for U.S. Patent Application No. 09/291,535, dated October 1, 2001, page 2, item 2.

Claim 1 is directed to a method for an interface to an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system that specifies providing a data file to be loaded into the ERP system, providing a parameter file for mapping data from the data file to screens of an